

Dkt. 2271/60963-Z

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Anapolication of: Seiji TERAZAWA et al.

Serial No.: 10/692,547 Group Art Unit: 2852

Filed: October 24, 2003 Examiner: Robert B. Beatty

For: TONER CONTAINER AND IMAGE FORMING METHOD AND APPARATUS USING SAME

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the  $U.S.\ Postal$ Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, 22313-1450

Reg. No. 40,837

1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, N.Y. 10036

(212) 278-0400

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## COMMUNICATION IN RESPONSE TO APRIL 16, 2004 OFFICE ACTION

This Communication is submitted in response to the April 16, 2004 Office Action issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the above-identified patent application.

The April 16, 2004 Office Action indicates that examination of the application will be restricted by the Patent Office under 35 U.S.C. \$121 to one of the following allegedly distinct species:

- Group I. Claims 117 and 118, drawn to a method of filling a container, classified in class 141, subclass 18;
- Claims 139-143, drawn to a container having an outlet wherein a pump aids in the dispensing, classified in class 222, subclass 372;
- Group III. Claims 144-147, drawn to a container having an outlet and a mating portion for mating with the outlet,

classified in class 222, subclass 153.01; and

Group IV. Claims 148-151, drawn to a container having an outlet with a shutter open/closure means, classified in class 222, subclass 544.

Applicants hereby elect, with traversal, to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 139-143.

Applicants, however, respectfully request reconsideration of the restriction requirement. Under 35 U.S.C. §121, restriction may be required if two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application. Under M.P.E.P. §803, the application must be examined on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct inventions, if the search and examination of an application can be made without serious burden.

Groups I through IV are not independent. Under MPEP \$802.01, "independent" means there is no disclosed relationship between the subjects disclosed. Each of Groups I through IV relates to use of a toner container. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that the Groups are not independent and restriction is improper.

In addition, Applicants submit that it would not be a serious burden if restriction is not require, because a search for prior art for one Group will likely turn up relevant references for the other Group. Therefore, Applicants submit that search and examination of the Groups together would not be a serious burden.

Accordingly, in view of the preceding remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the restriction requirement be withdrawn.

If a petition for an extension of time is required to make this response timely, this paper should be considered to be such a petition, and the Commissioner is authorized to charge the requisite fees to our

TERAZAWA et al., S.N. 10/692,547 Page 3

Dkt. 2271/60963-Z

Deposit Account No. 03-3125.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required in connection with this response and to credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 03-3125.

If a telephone interview could advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul Teng, Reg. No. 40,837 Attorney for Applicants

Cooper & Dunham LLP Tel.: (212) 278-0400